

Daily Mail **meltdown** at measures to reduce harmful greenhouse gas emissions!

Scotland's highest civil court recognises the harm caused by burning new oil and gas - **blocks** two North Sea Oil & Gas drilling sites. The Daily Mail responded with:

DAILY MAIL COMMENT: Is our economy now run by eco-zealots?


The Daily Mail attacking the judiciary for being unelected!

By DAILY MAIL COMMENT

PUBLISHED: 01:56, 31 January 2025 | UPDATED: 02:14, 31 January 2025

Equally serious is the judicial over-reach displayed yet again by this troubling case.

Time and again, unelected judges are imposing damaging decisions on matters which should be the preserve of elected politicians. Sir Keir must show his mettle and do everything possible to mitigate the effect of yesterday's ruling.



The Daily Mail attacking Ed Miliband for doing the job he was elected for!

Fury at Labour 'caving to eco-zealots' after court backs challenge against North Sea oil and gas fields - with Ed Miliband set to decide on plans

Please see over...

GOOD NEWS!

The highest civil court in Scotland has blocked oil & gas drilling at two sites in the North Sea, recognising that it would increase harmful fossil fuel emissions, which, as we all know, increases global warming, extreme weather, flooding, fires, crop failures, fish depletion and air/water pollution.

Let's 'drill down' into their responses

When the Daily Mail doesn't like a decision made by the judiciary, they go on the attack using the fact that judges are not elected and that it is therefore undemocratic. They omit to say that elected MPs create the laws for the judiciary to follow. To suggest that a judge's decision is undemocratic, or judicial over-reach, just because the Daily Mail doesn't like it, is misleading to say the least.

When the Daily Mail doesn't like a decision made by an elected MP, they attack their credibility, using insults and ridicule. Ed Miliband is doing exactly what he was elected for: introducing measures to transition to clean green energy by 2030.

So what of the credibility of the Daily Mail?

That is easy: Even Wikipedia does not recognise the Daily Mail as a trusted source of information and as for their independence, you should ask the owner non-dom billionaire Lord Rothermere about that. One can only conclude that the **Daily Mail has its own agenda that has little to do with democracy, protecting the public, or telling the truth.**



**extinction
rebellion**

